Tetrahedron Letters No.15, pp. 1343-1346, 1967. Pergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain.

CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN DICARBONIUM IONS

Rarold Rart, Ching-Yong Wu, Richard B. Schwendeman and Robert H. Young

Chemistry Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823

(Received 5 January 1967)

Nmr chemical shifts in charged species are proportional to tne charge density (1) . Charge distribution in arylcarbonium ions has been probed using this tool $(2,3)$, and it has been shown that chemical shifts of para protona parallel m.o. predictions, whereas ring currents of adjacent **aryl** groups seriously **affect ortho** protons (and, to a lesser extent, meta protons). Thus in the triphenylmethyl cation, para protons appear at lowest field, but **ortho** protons appear at highest field (4).

We wish to report here the first assignment of chemical shifts of protons in dicarbonium ions. Spectra and pK is of ions I-III were reported earlier (5). I-III were
R⁺⁺ synthesized with deuterium in the positions shown in Table I. From the nmr spectra of the

I

II

III

TABLE I. Labellsd Dicarbonium Ions

Ion	\circ	m		α	в	
I	D	D	н	D		
	D	D	D	H		
	H	Н	D	D		
11	H	н	н	D	D	ת
	D	D	D	H	Η	Η
	D	D	D	Η	н	D
III	н	н	D	Ħ	н	
	D	D	D	н	н	
	D	D	D	H	D,H	

unlabelled and labelled ions in 98% D_2SO_4 with tetramethylammonium ion as an internal reference (T 6.87), the chemical shifts of the various types of protons were deduced (6). These are presented in Table II, together with charge densities calculated by the w-technique (7) using designated models for the geometry of the ions.

One can draw the following conclusions from these results:

1. The same sequence of chemical shifts is observed in the 'outer' rings of tilcarbonium ions I-III as is observed in the trityl cation; i.e., p>m>o. The value of $\tau_{\rm m}$ - $\tau_{\rm p}$ and $\tau_{\rm o}$ - $\tau_{\rm p}$ are respectively 20 and 30 cps, about the same as in the trityl cation. However, all the protons appear at lower field, as expedted for a dication.

2. The 'outer' rings of I are predicted to, and do carry more positive charge than those of II.

3. Protons in the ortho positions of the 'outer' rings, and in similar locations on the 'central' ring, appear at considerably higher field than predicted by m.o. calculations,

TABLE II. Chemical Shifts and Calculated Charge Densities

due to shielding by neighboring aryl rings. Particularly striking is the a proton of II, which appears at highest field, though predicted from m.o. calculations of charge density to appear at lowest field. Although the α proton presumably has twice the positive charge of the para protons in II, the two appear at opposite extremes of the nmr spectrum. The 6 protons in II are also strongly shielded from their expected position.

4. When shielding by ring currents of neighboring aryl groups should not be very large, the chemical shifts are in approximate accord with m.o. predictions. An example is the S proton in III which, as predicted even for a highly twisted model, appears at lower field than the <u>para</u> protons. Compare also the γ <u>vs meta</u> protons in II. The γ proton does, however, appear at somewhat lower field than expected, by comparison with the para protons.

Finally, attention is called to the possible advantage of using the nmr spectra of 1,3-dioxolenium cations in preference to those of arylmethyl cations to test predictions of m.0. theory regarding charge densities. For example, in IV, the three protons on the 'central' ring appear at higher field than any other protons in the ion, at τ 2.57 (8); the corresponding protons in V, where ring currents do not interfere, appear at τ 0.42 (9),

although delocalization of charge to the oxygens in V should be even greater than delocalization to the 'outer' phenyls in IV (10).

Acknowledgement: We are indebted to the National Science Foundation and the Army Research Office (Durham) for support of this work.

REFERENCES

- 1. H. Spiesecke and W. G. Schneider, Tetrahedron Letters, 468 (1961); G. Fraenkel, R. E. Carter, A. McLachlan and J. H. Richards, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 5846 (1960).
-
- 2. G. A. Olah, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 932 (1964).
- 3. D. G. Farnum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 934 (1964).
- 4. R. Dehl, W. R. Vaughan and R. S. Berry, J. Org. Chem., 24, 1616 (1959).
- 5. H. Hart, T. Sulzberg and R. Rafos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 1800 (1963).
- 6. Labeling did not have to be unique for each type of proton present. Assignments could be made making use of incomplete exchange, since the chance that the few hydrogens not replaced by deuterium would appear in the same molecule was small; thus these hydrogens appeared as fairly sharp singlets.
- 7. A. Streitwieser, Jr., Molecular Orbital Theory for Organic Chemists, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1961, p. 115. Values used in the calculations were $\omega = 1.4$, and for twisted bonds, $\beta = \beta_0 \cos \theta$.
- 8. H. Volz and M. J. Volz de Lecea, Tetrahedron Letters, 4683 (1966).
- 9. D. A. Tomalia and H. Hart, Tetrahedron Letters, 3389 (1966).
- 10. H. Meerwein, V. Hederich, H. Morschel and K. Wunderlich, Ann., 635, 1 (1960).